

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/698,496	10/31/2003	Steven L. Jacques	19799-206	9597		
21890	890 7590 07/26/2006 EXAMI					
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP PATENT DEPARTMENT			NGUYEN, TUAN VAN			
	1585 BROADWAY			PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK,	NY 10036-8299		3731	•		
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2000	6		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OP							
cant(s)							
UES, STEVEN L.							
nit							
ondence address							
THIRTY (30) DAYS,							
ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133). uce any							
on as to the merits is . 213.							
the Examiner.							
R 1.85(a).							
o. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
or form PTO-152.							
(f).							
 is National Stage							
•							

					S
<del></del>		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
		10/698,	10/698,496 JACQUES, STEV		ÆN L.
(	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	
		Tuan V.	Nguyen	3731	
<i> Th</i> Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commu eply	nication appears on ti	he cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ddress
WHICHEN - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perior - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN VERIOS IS LONGER, FROM THE IT OF time may be available under the provision () MONTHS from the mailing date of this come of for reply is specified above, the maximum seply within the set or extended period for reply exceived by the Office later than three months ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nmunication. statutory period will apply and ly will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUN event, however, may a will expire SIX (6) MO pplication to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status					
1\⊠ Pos	enoneivo to communication(s) fi	lad on 08 May 2006			
	sponsive to communication(s) files action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final		
′=	ce this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —		atters, prosecution as to th	e merits is
•	sed in accordance with the prac	·		·	c ments is
Disposition o	of Claims				
4a) 0 5)	m(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the Of the above claim(s) 1-13 and m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) 14-24 is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restr	25 is/are withdrawn f		tion.	
Application F	Papers				
	specification is objected to by the	he Examiner			
•	drawing(s) filed on 25 October		cepted or b)	objected to by the Examir	ner.
•	licant may not request that any obj				
	lacement drawing sheet(s) including	= : :	•		FR 1.121(d).
	oath or declaration is objected				
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	nowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreian priority u	inder 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)		or lordigit priority a		3 , 10(0) (0) 01 (1).	
, —	Certified copies of the priority	v documents have be	en received.		
2.	•	•		Application No.	
3.	•	<del>*</del>		• •	l Stage
_	application from the Internati	•			J
* See t	he attached detailed Office acti	•		ot received.	
			·		
Attachmon*/->					
Attachment(s)	References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)	
	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (	(PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	
3) X Information	n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 cs)/Mail Date 10/07/04.		5)  Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)

#### **DETAIL ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. According to the Response to the Restriction Requirements Applicant filed on May 8, 2006, Applicant elects without traverse the invention of Group II, claims 14-24, drawn to a catheter. Claims 1-13 and 25 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being draw to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Objection

2. Claim 15 is objected to of the following informalities: claim 15 recites limitation "A catheter as defined in claim 13 wherein the catheter". Here it is understood that applicant intended to recite "A catheter as defined in claim 14 wherein the catheter". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3731

4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 3

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 14, 15, and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hutchins et al. (U.S. 6,676,659) and further in view of Richardson (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0078473).
- 6. Referring to **claims 14 and 15**, Hutchins discloses (see Figs. 3, 4, and 6) a papillotomy and sphincterotomy device comprising: a shaft 11 having proximal end and distal end; a distal terminus 14, one lumen for receiving 0.35 inches guide wire; one lumen 17 for cutting wire 37; wherein the cutting wire 37 is exiting a skive hole 36B then entering the second skive hole 36A and the distal tip of cutting wire is anchor by anchoring member 35; the thirds lumen 16; and the distal tip wherein the distal tip having a diameter measuring 0.07 inches (or approximately 0.063 inches) and the distal tapered tip (see col. 5, line 45 to col. 7, line 14). Hutchins discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the specific length of the taper tip.
- 7. Still referring to **claims 14 and 15**, Richardson discloses a billary catheter (see Fig. 3) having a tapered distal tip wherein the length of the tapered tip is about 0.10 to 5.0 cm for the purpose increase the probability of initially getting into a

Art Unit: 3731

Richardson.

smaller opening such as the orifice of Vater (see paragraph [0038]-[0039]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made by the applicant to use the tapered tip design, as disclosed by Richardson, to incorporate into the device, as disclosed by Hutchins because this will provide the advantage aforementioned above as suggested by

Page 4

- 8. Referring to **claims 20 and 21**, here it is noted that a comparison of the recited process with the prior art processes does NOT serve to resolve the issue concerning patentability of the product. In re Fessman, 489 F2d 742, 180 U.S. P.Q. 324 (CCPA 1974). Whether a product is patentable depends on whether is is known in the art or it is obvious, and is not governed by whether the process by which it is made is patentable. In re Klug, 333 F2d 905, 142 U.S.P.Q 161 (CCPA 1964). In an ex parte case, product-by-process claims are not construed as being limited to the product formed by the specific process recited. In re Hirao et al., 535 F2d 67, 190 U.S.P.Q. 15, see footnote 3.
- 9. Referring to **claims 23 and 24**, Hutchins discloses the distal tip having a diameter measuring 0.07 inches. However, Hutchins does not specifically disclosed the range of approximately 0.055 inch to 0.063 inch. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made design a catheter having an outside diameter of approximately 0.055 inch to 0.063 inch, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are

Art Unit: 3731

disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Page 5

- 10. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hutchins et al. in view of Richardson and further in view of Sadamasa (U.S. 6,017,339).
- 11. Referring to **claims 17 and 18**, the modified device of Richardson discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the radiopaque marker located at the distal end of the cutting wire and inside the lumen of the cutting wire. Sadamasa discloses and endoscopic diathermic knife (see Fig. 2) for performing endosclopic papillotomy or sphincterotomy (see Background of The Invention) having a radiopaque marker 21 at the distal of the cutting wire 16a and inside the lumen of the cutting wire for the purpose of locating the location of the distal tip (see col. 6, lines 18-32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made by the applicant to incorporate the marker to the cutting wire, as disclosed by Sadamasa, to incorporate into the modified device, as disclosed by Hutchins because this will provide the advantage aforementioned above as suggested by Sadamasa.

Art Unit: 3731

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan V. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-5962. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9:00 AM - 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, AnhTuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan V. Nguyen

July 12, 2006

Page 6